

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/661,717	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HOMER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Anthony Q. Edwards	<b>Art Unit</b> 2835	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Anthony Q. Edwards.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Phillip Lyren.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*Office Action mailed Nov. 24, 2004 and Applicant's response filed Feb. 22, 2005*
**Claims discussed:**
*1, 18 and 13*
**Prior art documents discussed:**
*US Patent No. 5,436,792 to Leman*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Examiner suggested amending claims to include specific details of the mounting arm similar to amended claim 9*
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)